REMARKS

Claims 8 through 10 and 13 through 16 are in this application and are presented for consideration. By this amendment, Applicant has combined the subject matter of claims 11 and 12 into claim 8. Similar additions have been made to claim 14. Claims 11 and 12 have been canceled and new claims 15 and 16 (depending on claim 14) have been added.

The changes to claim 8 do not add or present new issues as this is substantially the subject matter of claims 11 and 12. Claim 14 includes the same issue and is commensurate in scope with claim 8 or is narrower. As such, all claims present issues already presented and/or additional limitations.

Claims 8 through 13 have been rejected as being anticipated by Berger. The rejection was made Final even though Berger was cited for the first time. Applicant believes that this is much closer prior art then had been cited previously. However, the reference fails to teach and fails to suggest the concave edge structure 8, 8' and the convex edge (see revised claim 8 and previously presented, now canceled, claim 12). This structure is particularly useful in allowing a hook friction lock to be provided while also allowing a smooth engagement of the parts. With the structure of Berger, the back engaging surface (see Figure 8) has sharp edges and there is no smooth convex and concave structure as claimed. Instead, Berger teaches planar surfaces, which are not convex and concave. This presents an abrupt step or edge which is encountered in forming the connection. The invention instead provides the smooth convex and concave structure as claimed.

As noted above, the issues are presented in earlier claims 11 and 12. These same

04/29/2005 11:54 19149415855 MCGLEW AND TUTTLE PC PAGE 08

issues are presented in claim 14 with further specificity in combination with the other aspects

of claim 14. The subject matter is supported in the specification for example with the

"concave arcuate end wall 8" discussed at page 5, lines 4 and 5 and the "concave arcuate wall

8" discussed at page 5, lines 17 through 18. The structure forms the inside and outside

joining structure with recesses 9, 9' with the ends having a convex arcuste form. As noted

at page 5 and line 24 the contour of the hook 6 precisely corresponds to the contour of the

recess 9' and the contour of the hook 6' precisely corresponds to the recess 9 as discussed for

example at page 5, lines 21 through 25. With this the hooks 6 and 6' have a convex arcuate

contour or convex end.

Claims 14 and 15 highlight the further aspects of this connection with the planar

surfaces (see attached sketch) marked A and B with the smooth surface at the convex part

joining the inner side (opposite B) with the point at the concave surface joining the inner side

(see C).

As the prior art as a whole fails to suggest these features and as these issues have

already been presented, it is Applicant's request that the claims be favorably considered.

Respectfully submitted

For Applicant,

John James McGlew

Reg. No. 31,903

JJM:jj/jms

7

DATED:

April 29, 2005

BOX 9227 SCARBOROUGH STATION SCARBOROUGH, NEW YORK 10510-9227

(914) 941-5600

SHOULD ANY OTHER FEE BE REQUIRED, THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE IS HEREBY REQUESTED TO CHARGE SUCH FEE TO OUR DEPOSIT ACCOUNT 13-0410.